

## Table of Contents

Topic	Page
<b>Executive Summary</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>Glossary</b>	<b>xiv</b>
<b>Table of Contents</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>xvii</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>xxi</b>
<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Part 1. Locations and the Protection Goals for IPUOCR Entities</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>I.) Recreation</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>II.) Fishing</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>III.) Public Water Supply</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>IV.) Pollution Abatement</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>V.) Hydroelectric Energy Production</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>VI.) Fish and Wildlife Habitat</b>	<b>14</b>
<i>Study Area</i>	<b>14</b>
<i>Segments of the Souhegan River</i>	<b>17</b>
<i>Temperature Data</i>	<b>18</b>
<i>Bio-Periods</i>	<b>25</b>
<i>Wetland/Riparian Wildlife Habitat</i>	<b>28</b>
<b>VII.) Aquatic and Fish Life Maintenance and Enhancement</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>VIII.) RTE: Fish, Wildlife, Vegetation and Natural/Ecological Communities</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>A. Rare, Threatened, and Endangered Wildlife</b>	<b>30</b>
Wood Turtle ( <i>Clemmys insculpta</i> )	<b>30</b>
Fowlers Toad ( <i>Bufo fowleri</i> )	<b>34</b>
Pied-Billed Grebe ( <i>Podilymbus podiceps</i> )	<b>35</b>
Osprey ( <i>Pandion haliaetus</i> )	<b>35</b>
Common Loon ( <i>Gavia immer</i> )	<b>35</b>
<b>B. Rare, Threatened, and Endangered Plants</b>	<b>35</b>
Long's Bitter Cress ( <i>Cardamine longii</i> Fern.)	<b>35</b>
Wild Garlic ( <i>Allium canadense</i> )	<b>36</b>
Wild Senna ( <i>Cassia hebecarpa</i> )	<b>36</b>
<b>C. Natural Communities</b>	<b>36</b>
High Energy Riverbank (Twisted Sedge ( <i>Carex torta</i> ) Low Riverbank Community and Fern Glade)	<b>37</b>
Southern New England Floodplain Forest: Silver Maple ( <i>Acer saccharinum</i> ) <i>Floodplain Forest</i>	<b>38</b>
Southern New England Floodplain Forest: Sycamore ( <i>Platanus occidentalis</i> ) <i>Floodplain Forest</i>	<b>39</b>
Oxbow/Backwater Marsh	<b>40</b>

## Table of Contents (continued)

Topic	Page
<b>IX.) Environmental/Fish Habitat</b>	<b>42</b>
<b>Target Fish Community Development</b>	<b>42</b>
<b>Fish and Invertebrate Sampling</b>	<b>42</b>
<b>Existing Fish Community</b>	<b>43</b>
<b>Existing Invertebrate Community</b>	<b>44</b>
<b>Comparison of TFC to the Existing Souhegan River Fish Community</b>	<b>45</b>
<b>Habitat Use, Pollution Tolerance, Thermal Regime Classification Guilds</b>	<b>45</b>
<b>Comparison of Species Within the TFC and the Existing Fish Communities</b>	<b>47</b>
<b>Comparison of TFC and Existing Community Species to Souhegan River Suitable Habitat Availability</b>	<b>51</b>
<b>Indicator Species</b>	<b>52</b>
<b>Habitat Suitability Criteria</b>	<b>53</b>
<b>Habitat Data Collection</b>	<b>54</b>
<b>Mapping</b>	<b>54</b>
<b>Rating Curves for Sites</b>	<b>54</b>
<b>Reach 1</b>	<b>56</b>
<b>Reach 2</b>	<b>58</b>
<b>Reach 3</b>	<b>61</b>
<b>Reach 4</b>	<b>64</b>
<b>Reach 5</b>	<b>67</b>
<b>Reach 6</b>	<b>70</b>
<b>Reach 7</b>	<b>73</b>
<b>River Restoration Simulation</b>	<b>76</b>
<b>River Simulation Results</b>	<b>78</b>
<b>Habitat Time Series Analysis</b>	<b>85</b>
<b>R&amp;G Bio-Period</b>	<b>87</b>
<b>Recommendation</b>	<b>89</b>
<b>Atlantic Salmon Spawning Bio-period (October 1 through November 15)</b>	<b>90</b>
<b>Recommendation</b>	<b>91</b>
<b>Overwintering Bio-period (November 15 through February 28)</b>	<b>91</b>
<b>Spring Flood Bio-period (March 1 through April 30)</b>	<b>91</b>
<b>American shad bioperiod</b>	<b>92</b>
<b>Recommendation</b>	<b>92</b>
<b>GRAF spawning bio-period (May 1 through June 15)</b>	<b>93</b>
<b>Recommendation</b>	<b>94</b>
<b>Discussion</b>	<b>94</b>

## Table of Contents (continued)

Topic	Page
<b>Part 2. Hydrographs</b>	<b>98</b>
<b>I.) Representative Hydrographs</b>	<b>98</b>
<b>II.) Comparison of PISF to Representative Hydrographs</b>	<b>103</b>
<b>III.) Water quality standards</b>	<b>116</b>
<b>IV.) Discussion of how the proposed PISF values meet the criteria</b>	<b>118</b>
<b>V.) Preliminary determination of Designated River reaches</b>	<b>121</b>
<b>References</b>	<b>125</b>
<b>Appendices</b>	<b>128</b>
<b>Appendix 1. Affected Water Users</b>	<b>129</b>
<b>Appendix 2. Affected Dam Owners</b>	<b>191</b>
<b>Appendix 3. Concurrent Flows and Hydrograph Simulations</b>	<b>220</b>
<b>Appendix 4. Recreation Surveys</b>	<b>227</b>
<b>Appendix 5: Temperature conditions</b>	<b>232</b>
<b>Appendix 6: Target Fish Community</b>	<b>245</b>
<b>Appendix 7: Fish Data Collection</b>	<b>275</b>
<b>Appendix 8: Habitat Suitability Criteria</b>	<b>310</b>
<b>Appendix 9: Habitat Survey</b>	<b>334</b>
<b>Appendix 10: HMU Maps</b>	<b>340</b>
<b>Appendix 11a: Adult Habitat Suitability Maps</b>	<b>357</b>
<b>Appendix 11b: Spawning Habitat Suitability Maps</b>	<b>528</b>
<b>Appendix 12: Rating curves</b>	<b>649</b>
<b>Appendix 13: Habitat Time Series Analysis</b>	<b>749</b>
<b>Appendix 14: Verification of Model Transferability</b>	<b>772</b>
<b>Appendix 15: Discussion of Site 11</b>	<b>785</b>
<b>Appendix 16: Floodplain Transects</b>	<b>808</b>

## List of Figures

<b>Figure and Caption</b>	<b>Page</b>
<b>Figure 1. View of the Upper Souhegan River looking upstream from Route 31 bridge, October 10, 2005.</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Figure 2. Boating access point at Route 31 Bridge, Upper Souhegan River on October 10, 2005.</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Figure 3. Hand painted gage at the shorebank fishing access on the Upper Souhegan River in Greenville, New Hampshire.</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Figure 4. Boaters Downstream of Route 31 Bridge, October 10, 2005.</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Figure 5. Location of the Greenville Water Supply, the Tobey Reservoir. USGS Greenville Topographic Quadrangle 1988.</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>Figure 6. Map of study area</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>Figure 7. Map showing the location of temperature probes (Red), impoundments (Blue I-numbers), and reference points of interest (Green) on the Souhegan River.</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>Figure 8. Souhegan River longitudinal temperature profile for the period of days common to the 2005 temperature data.</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>Figure 9. Souhegan River longitudinal profile for the period of days common to the 2004 temperature data.</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>Figure 10. Bio-periods identified for the Souhegan River displayed over the Souhegan River daily mean hydrograph based on 71 years of record.</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>Figure 11. Flow Sensitive Bioperiods for RTE Wildlife and Natural Communities</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>Figure 12. Percentages of Upper Souhegan River TFC and existing fish community species by habitat use classification guilds.</b>	<b>46</b>
<b>Figure 13. Percentages of Lower Souhegan River TFC and existing fish community species by habitat use classification guilds.</b>	<b>47</b>
<b>Figure 14. Comparison of proportions of fish species and their suitable habitats for the Upper Souhegan River.</b>	<b>50</b>
<b>Figure 15. Comparison of proportions of fish species and their suitable habitats for the Lower Souhegan River.</b>	<b>51</b>
<b>Figure 16. Hydrograph for the USGS stream gage at Wildcat Falls for the duration of the hydromorphological mapping period.</b>	<b>54</b>
<b>Figure 17. Habitat Rating curves for Reach 1 species during the R&amp;G bio-period.</b>	<b>55</b>
<b>Figure 18. Habitat Rating curves for Reach 1 species during the spawning bio-period.</b>	<b>56</b>
<b>Figure 19. Habitat Rating curves for Atlantic salmon and American shad spawning bio-period in Reach 1.</b>	<b>57</b>
<b>Figure 20. Habitat Rating curves for Reach 2 species during the R&amp;G bio-period.</b>	<b>58</b>
<b>Figure 21. Habitat Rating curves for Reach 2 species during the spawning bio-period.</b>	<b>59</b>
<b>Figure 22. Habitat Rating curves for Atlantic salmon and American shad spawning bio-period in Reach 2.</b>	<b>60</b>

## List of Figures

<b>Figure and Caption</b>	<b>Page</b>
<b>Figure 23. Habitat Rating curves for Reach 3 species during the R&amp;G bio-period.</b>	<b>61</b>
<b>Figure 24. Habitat Rating curves for Reach 3 species during the spawning bio-period.</b>	<b>62</b>
<b>Figure 25. Habitat Rating curves for Atlantic salmon and American shad spawning bio-period in Reach 3.</b>	<b>63</b>
<b>Figure 26 . Habitat Rating curves for Reach 4 species during the R&amp;G bio-period</b>	<b>64</b>
<b>Figure 27. Habitat Rating curves for Reach 4 species during the spawning bio-period.</b>	<b>65</b>
<b>Figure 28. Habitat Rating curves for Atlantic salmon and American shad spawning bio-period in Reach 4.</b>	<b>66</b>
<b>Fig Figure 29. Habitat Rating curves for Reach 5 species during the R&amp;G bio-period.</b>	<b>67</b>
<b>Figure 30. Habitat Rating curves for Reach 5 species during the spawning bio-period.</b>	<b>68</b>
<b>Figure 31. Habitat Rating curves for Atlantic salmon and American shad spawning bio-period in Reach 5.</b>	<b>69</b>
<b>Figure 32. Habitat Rating curves for Reach 6 species during the R&amp;G bio-period.</b>	<b>70</b>
<b>Figure 33. Habitat Rating curves for Reach 6 species during the spawning bio-period.</b>	<b>71</b>
<b>Figure 34. Habitat Rating curves for Atlantic salmon and American shad spawning bio-period in Reach 6.</b>	<b>72</b>
<b>Figure 35. Habitat Rating curves for Reach 7 species during the R&amp;G bio-period.</b>	<b>73</b>
<b>Figure 36. Habitat Rating curves for Reach 7 species during the spawning bio-period.</b>	<b>74</b>
<b>Figure 37. Habitat Rating curves for Atlantic salmon and American shad spawning bio-period in Reach 7.</b>	<b>75</b>
<b>Figure 38. Habitat Rating curves for Reach 1 river restoration simulation species during the R&amp;G bio-period.</b>	<b>78</b>
<b>Figure 39. Habitat Rating curves for Reach 2 river restoration simulation species during the R&amp;G bio-period.</b>	<b>79</b>
<b>Figure 40. Habitat Rating curves for Reach 3 river restoration simulation species during the R&amp;G bio-period.</b>	<b>80</b>
<b>Figure 41. Habitat Rating curves for Reach 4 river restoration simulation species during the R&amp;G bio-period.</b>	<b>81</b>
<b>Figure 42. Habitat Rating curves for Reach 5 river restoration simulation species during the R&amp;G bio-period.</b>	<b>82</b>
<b>Figure 43. Habitat Rating curves for Reach 6 river restoration simulation species during the R&amp;G bio-period.</b>	<b>83</b>

<b>Figure and Caption</b>	<b>Page</b>
<b>Figure 44. Habitat Rating curves for Reach 7 river restoration simulation species during the R&amp;G bio-period.</b>	<b>84</b>
<b>Figure 45. Comparison of the lowest flow data points in the “wet” and “average” three year hydrographs.</b>	<b>99</b>
<b>Figure 46. Amplification of low and high flow duration curves for selected hydrographs at the USGS gage.</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Figure 47. Monthly net withdrawal minus return flow (cfs).</b>	<b>101</b>
<b>Figure 48. Comparison of the lowest flow data points in the “wet” and “average” three year hydrographs.</b>	<b>104</b>
<b>Figure 49. Comparison of Fish PISF to Upper Souhegan River hydrograph for the last 5 years.</b>	<b>112</b>
<b>Figure 50. Comparison of Fish PISF to Upper Souhegan River hydrograph for the dry 3-year hydrograph.</b>	<b>113</b>
<b>Figure 51. Comparison of Fish PISF to Lower Souhegan River hydrograph for the dry 3-year hydrograph.</b>	<b>114</b>
<b>Figure 52. Synthesized PISF for the Upper Souhegan River.</b>	<b>118</b>
<b>Figure 53. Synthesized PISF for the Lower Souhegan River.</b>	<b>118</b>
<b>Figure 54. Daily flow frequency statistics for the Souhegan River (based on the USGS gage in Merrimack).</b>	<b>120</b>
<b>Figure 55. Comparison of Upper Souhegan River instream flows to daily flow frequencies</b>	<b>121</b>
<b>Figure 56. Comparison of Lower Souhegan River instream flows to daily flow frequencies.</b>	<b>121</b>
<b>Figure 57. Comparisin of Dry 3-Year hydrograph to daily exceedance frequencies – full scale.</b>	<b>123</b>
<b>Figure 58. Comparisin of Dry 3-Year hydrograph to daily exceedance frequencies – magnified scale.</b>	<b>123</b>

## List of Tables

<b>Table and Description</b>	<b>Page</b>
<b>Table 1. Estimated percent chance of finding the Upper Souhegan River runnable for whitewater boating.</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Table 2. Runability of Upper Souhegan based on two hand-painted gages on upper river (Greenville and Route 31 Bridge).</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Table 3. Gage reading and streamflow correlations at the Greenville, Route 31 bridge and Merrimack gages.</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Table 4. New Hampshire Fish and Game Department Stocking Records for the Souhegan River during 2004.</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>Table 5. Details of permitted wastewater discharges in the Souhegan River designated segment.</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>Table 6. Protected Instream Flow (PSIF) for Pollution Abatement in the Souhegan River.</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>Table 7. Hydroelectric facilities on the Souhegan River designated segment.</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>Table 8. Impoundments and reference points of interest along the Souhegan River.</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>Table 9. River Water Temperature Data for the 2004 Field Season.</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>Table 10. River Water Temperature Data for the 2005 Field Season.</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>Table 11. Locations and water temperature measurements of impoundments within the upper Souhegan River watershed.</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>Table 12. Wildlife Species Observed Along the Souhegan River during 2005 Site Reconnaissance.</b>	<b>28-30</b>
<b>Table 13. Natural Communities, Wildlife Habitats and RTE Wildlife and Plants</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>Table 14. Comparison of proportions of fish species between the TFC and Upper Souhegan River existing fish community identifying under-represented, existing as expected, overly abundant, missing, and introduced species in the upper Souhegan River.</b>	<b>48</b>
<b>Table 15. Comparison of proportions of fish species between the TFC and Lower Souhegan River existing fish community identifying under-represented, existing as expected, overly abundant, missing, and introduced species in the upper Souhegan River.</b>	<b>50</b>
<b>Table 16. Species and life stages selected as habitat indicators in each specific reach.</b>	<b>86</b>
<b>Table 17. Recommended flow criteria for Atlantic salmon spawning bio-period.</b>	<b>89</b>
<b>Table 18. Recommended flow criteria for overwintering bio-period.</b>	<b>90</b>
<b>Table 19. Recommended flow criteria for spring flood bio-period.</b>	<b>91</b>
<b>Table 20. Recommended flow criteria for GRAF spawning bio-period.</b>	<b>92</b>
<b>Table 21. Recommended flow criteria</b>	<b>95</b>
<b>Table 22. The priority and importance of various aspects for maintenance and restoration of the aquatic fauna</b>	<b>96</b>

### List of Tables (continued)

Table and Description	Page
<b>Table 23. Concurrent flow results for locations upstream of the Souhegan River USGS gage using the relationship <math>Q_{\text{upstream, cfsm}} = a \cdot Q_{\text{USGS, cfsm}}^b</math>.</b>	<b>98</b>
<b>Table 24. Comparison of Existing System Streamflow to the Recreation PISF.</b>	<b>102</b>
<b>Table 25. Comparison of Existing System Streamflow to the Hydropower PISF.</b>	<b>103</b>
<b>Table 26. Comparison of Existing System Streamflow to the Pollution Abatement PISF.</b>	<b>103</b>
<b>Table 27. Comparison of Existing System Streamflow to the Wood Turtle PISF.</b>	<b>105</b>
<b>Table 28. Comparison of Existing System Streamflow to the Fowlers Toad PISF.</b>	<b>106</b>
<b>Table 29. Comparison of Existing System Streamflow to the Wild Garlic and Wild Senna PISF.</b>	<b>107</b>
<b>Table 30. Comparison of Existing System Streamflow to the Twisted Sedge and Fern Glade PISF.</b>	<b>108</b>
<b>Table 31. Comparison of Existing System Streamflow to the Silver Maple Floodplain Forest PISF.</b>	<b>108</b>
<b>Table 32. Comparison of Existing System Streamflow to the Sycamore Floodplain Forest PISF.</b>	<b>109</b>
<b>Table 33. Comparison of Existing System Streamflow to the Oxbow/Backwater Marsh PISF.</b>	<b>110</b>
<b>Table 34. Comparison of Existing System Streamflow to the Fish PISF for the Upper Souhegan River.</b>	<b>111</b>
<b>Table 35. Comparison of Existing System Streamflow to the Fish PISF for the Lower Souhegan River.</b>	<b>113</b>
<b>Table 36. Comparison of Existing System Streamflow to the GRAF Spawning, high flow PISF for the Lower Souhegan River.</b>	<b>114</b>